

TEL# 301 496-4426  
PETER BASSER PHD  
NATIONAL INST OF HEALTH  
BLDG 13 RM 3W13  
BETHESDA MD 20814



# Book of Abstracts

## Volume 1

**Society of Magnetic  
Resonance in Medicine**

**Eleventh Annual Scientific Meeting  
August 8 - 14, 1992  
Berlin, Germany**

held jointly with the  
**Ninth Annual Congress  
of the  
European Society for Magnetic Resonance  
in Medicine and Biology**



## Diagonal and off-diagonal components of the self-diffusion tensor: their relation to and estimation from the NMR spin-echo signal

Peter J. Basser\*, James Mattiello\*, Denis LeBihan†

\*Biomedical Engineering and Instrumentation Program, and

†Diagnostic Radiology Department, Warren G. Magnuson Clinical Center, NIH, Bethesda, MD 20892 USA

**Purpose:** We derive an equation relating diagonal and off-diagonal elements of the apparent self-diffusion tensor,  $\underline{D}$ , to echo intensity in pulsed-gradient, spin-echo experiments. With it we design pulse sequences to estimate all components of  $\underline{D}$ . This procedure is validated by diffusion NMR spectroscopy and imaging of isotropic and anisotropic media. We suggest that errors are made in ignoring off-diagonal elements of  $\underline{D}$  in anisotropic diffusion experiments.

**Principles:** In isotropic media (e.g. water), a scalar self-diffusivity,  $D$ , is the constant of proportionality between the gradient in concentration of spin-labeled protons,  $\nabla C$ , and their flux,  $J$ ; i.e.,  $J = -D \nabla C$ . Analogously, in anisotropic media (e.g. skeletal muscle or brain white matter), a symmetric second-order apparent self-diffusion tensor,  $\underline{D}$ , relates  $\nabla C$  and  $J$ ; i.e.,  $J = -\underline{D} \nabla C$ . Diagonal elements of  $\underline{D}$  scale fluxes and concentration gradients in the same direction, while off-diagonal elements couple fluxes and concentration gradients in orthogonal directions. The importance of these off-diagonal elements has not been appreciated, nor have they ever been measured.

**Theory:** Following Stejskal [1], magnetic field gradients and their integrals are defined as:

$$G(t) = (G_x(t), G_y(t), G_z(t))^T; F(t) = \int_0^t G(t') dt' \quad (1)$$

The echo attenuation by diffusion,  $A(TE)/A(0)$ , is [1]:

$$\ln\left(\frac{A(TE)}{A(0)}\right) = -\gamma^2 \int_0^{TE} (F(t') - 2\xi(t')f)^T \underline{D} (F(t') - 2\xi(t')f) dt' \quad (2)$$

where  $\gamma$  is the proton gyromagnetic ratio;  $\xi(t')$  is the Heaviside function,  $H(t' - TE/2)$ ; and  $f = F(TE/2)$ . When  $\underline{D}$  is independent of time, Eq. (2) reduces to:

$$\ln\left(\frac{A(TE)}{A(0)}\right) = -\sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 b_{ij} D_{ij} \quad (3)$$

where the  $b_{ij}$  that are analogs to scalar b-factors [2], are calculated numerically or analytically for each sequence using Eq. (2). The  $b$  matrix is not necessarily symmetric.

Eq. (3) linearly relates the logarithm of the signal attenuation and each component of  $\underline{D}$ . We use multivariate linear regression (with weighted variances) to estimate optimally all components of  $\underline{D}$  from measured echo intensities that are produced by field gradients applied in different directions.

**Materials and Methods:** Diffusion spectroscopy and imaging of water and pork loin samples were performed with a surface coil in a 4.7 T Spectrometer-Imager (GE Omega). Pulsed-gradient

spin-echo sequences, incorporating symmetric trapezoidal gradient pulses (TR=15 s; TE=40 ms; pulse duration=4.0 ms; rise time=0.2 ms; pulse separation=22.5 ms), were applied in seven non-colinear directions:  $(G_x, G_y, G_z) = \{(0, 0, 1), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1), (1, 0, 1), (1, 1, 0), (0, 1, 1), \text{ and } (1, 1, 1)\}$ . In each direction, three trials were performed in which gradient strength was increased from 1 to 14 or 15 G/cm in 1-G/cm increments. The total number of acquisitions,  $N$ , was either 294 or 315.

**Results:** For water, the estimated  $\underline{D}^{iso} \pm \text{S.E.}$  ( $\rho^2 = 0.999998$ ;  $N = 315$ ) at 14.0°C is:

$$\underline{D}^{iso} = (1.687 \pm 0.0020) \times 10^{-5} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} \frac{\text{cm}^2}{\text{sec}} \quad (4)$$

The estimated  $\underline{D}^{0^\circ} \pm \text{S.E.}$  (cm<sup>2</sup>/sec) for a pork loin sample at 14.5°C, whose grain was oriented nearly parallel to the x axis, ( $\rho^2 = 0.999999$ ;  $N = 294$ ) is:

$$\underline{D}^{0^\circ} = \begin{pmatrix} 10.137 & 0.365 & -0.530 \\ 0.365 & 9.401 & 0.203 \\ -0.530 & 0.203 & 8.840 \end{pmatrix} \pm \begin{pmatrix} 0.008 & 0.007 & 0.006 \\ 0.007 & 0.008 & 0.006 \\ 0.006 & 0.006 & 0.008 \end{pmatrix} 10^{-6} \quad (5)$$

The estimated  $\underline{D}^{41^\circ} \pm \text{S.E.}$  (cm<sup>2</sup>/sec) for the same pork loin sample at 15.0°C, rotated 41° off the x axis in the x-z plane, ( $\rho^2 = 0.999999$ ;  $N = 294$ ) is:

$$\underline{D}^{41^\circ} = \begin{pmatrix} 9.188 & -0.099 & -0.618 \\ -0.099 & 9.346 & 0.038 \\ -0.618 & 0.038 & 9.694 \end{pmatrix} \pm \begin{pmatrix} 0.009 & 0.007 & 0.007 \\ 0.007 & 0.009 & 0.007 \\ 0.007 & 0.007 & 0.009 \end{pmatrix} 10^{-6} \quad (6)$$

**Discussion/Conclusion:** The control experiment validates the method to estimate  $\underline{D}$ . Statistically significant differences among diagonal components of  $\underline{D}$  demonstrate diffusion anisotropy in the pork loin sample. Small S.E. and  $\rho^2 = 1$  show the multivariate linear model (Eq. (3)) fits the data faithfully;  $\underline{D}$  is estimated with high significance.

In anisotropic diffusion, off-diagonal components of  $\underline{D}$  vanish only when the "fiber" and "laboratory" frames of reference are coincident [3] - a condition which is rarely verifiable or satisfied. So, diagonal and (non-vanishing) off-diagonal elements of both  $b$  and  $\underline{D}$  are assumed to affect the measured echo attenuation. As a corollary, at least six experiments are generally required to estimate six independent components of  $\underline{D}$  in order to infer microscopic displacements of protons or tissue microstructure [3]. Omitting off-diagonal components of  $\underline{D}$  in describing diffusion in anisotropic media also precludes determination of fiber orientation [3].

### References:

1. Stejskal, E. O., *J. Chem. Phys.* **43**, 3597, 1965.
2. LeBihan, D., *Magn. Res. Quart.* **7**, 1, 1991.
3. Basser, P. J., et al, submitted SMRM, 1992.