## Analyzing the contribution of cardiac pulsation to the variability of quantities derived from the diffusion tensor

C. Pierpaoli<sup>1</sup>, S. Marenco<sup>1</sup>, G. Rohde<sup>1</sup>, D. K. Jones<sup>1</sup>, A. S. Barnett<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, United States

**Synopsis:** We analyze the contributions of cardiac-pulsation to the variability in estimates of diffusion tensor quantities in the human brain. We identify two contributions to variability arising from cardiac pulsation, namely (i) mis-registration of different structures and (ii) non-uniform intra-voxel motion (e.g. stretch and shear of the tissue), and propose a novel approach for differentiating them.

**Introduction:** Pulsations during the cardiac cycle cause significant brain motion, with peak velocities of 1-2 mm/s and displacements in the order of 1 mm<sup>1</sup>. Macroscopically, this motion cannot be considered a simple rigid-body motion as different brain regions have velocity and displacement profiles that differ in magnitude, direction, and time course. Occasionally, non-gated diffusion weighted echo planar images (DW-EPI) show severe signal attenuation in the brain parenchyma suggesting that cardiac pulsation itself induces intravoxel incoherent motion of the spins. Indeed, previous studies<sup>2-4</sup> have demonstrated the benefit of gating such studies, and that the best acquisition window occurs during diastole. However, these studies have been limited to uni-directional DW-EPIs and apparent diffusion coefficients measurements. Here, for the first time, we investigate the effects of cardiac pulsation on *tensor*-derived quantities including the trace, anisotropy indices, and the eigenvectors.

**Theory:** Both mis-registration and intra-voxel incoherent motion induced by cardiac pulsation, should lead to increased variance in the distribution of trace values ( $Tr(\mathbf{D})$ ) obtained at different points in the cardiac cycle. However, while mis-registration may cause both under- and over-estimation of  $Tr(\mathbf{D})$ , the pseudo-diffusion effect of increased intra-voxel incoherent motion can only lead to over-estimation. Since the latter will always lead to increased positive skewness of the distribution of  $Tr(\mathbf{D})$ , we propose that by analyzing both the variance and the skewness of the distribution,  $\mathbf{S}(Tr(\mathbf{D}))$ , one can differentiate between these sources of variability in  $Tr(\mathbf{D})$ .

**Methods:** Acquisition: Simultaneous ECG and pulse oxymeter measurements were performed in 15 subjects to characterize the delay between the onset of the R wave and the onset of the plethysmographic wave. ECG-gated DT-MRI data sets were acquired with  $2 \times 2 \times 4$  mm resolution, using the gradient scheme proposed elsewhere<sup>4</sup> and b = 1100 s/mm<sup>2</sup>. For each subject, we acquired 18 whole brain DT-MRI datasets with 9 different trigger delays (100ms increments starting at 20 ms after the onset of the R wave).

*Data Processing:* For each subject, all volumes were corrected for eddy current distortion and rigid-body brain motion using the approach of Rohde *et al.*<sup>5</sup>, during which the 18 data-sets were also co-registered with themselves, and then the tensor (**D**),  $Tr(\mathbf{D})$ , fractional anisotropy (FA) and eigenvectors were computed. Visual inspection of images of these variables was used to determine the temporo-spatial pattern of the artifacts. For each voxel, the coefficient of variation, (CoV = SD/Mean) and the skewness of the distribution of  $Tr(\mathbf{D})$  over the 9 trigger delays were computed. (N.B. The theory concerning the skewness outlined above does not apply to anisotropy and tensor-derived quantities other than  $Tr(\mathbf{D})$  and so only the SD was determined for these quantities). The analysis was then repeated for the volumes acquired with trigger delays > 220 ms (diastolic phase).

**Results / Discussion: (i)** The pulse wave delay was found to have low variability (mean  $\pm$ sd = 249 $\pm$ 17 ms) over a wide range of heart rates (66  $\pm$  14 bpm), suggesting peripheral pulse trigger values can be reliably converted to ECG trigger values via the equation: ECG trigger Peripheral pulse trigger + 250 ms; (ii) The magnitude and spatial extent of pulsation artifacts was greatest at 120 ms after the R wave. Significant artifacts were observed in all tensor-derived parameters, being most pronounced in the cerebellar peduncles, anterior portions of the cerebellum (Fig 1), and the middle portion of the genu of the corpus callosum; (iii) Whole brain analysis of the distribution of CoV of *Tr*(**D**) and **S**(*Tr*(**D**)) revealed a significant deviation from normality when all time points where included. However, this deviation from normality virtually disappeared when the systolic time-points (120ms and 220ms) were excluded (Fig 2). Although the variability of *Tr*(**D**) was relatively homogeneous throughout the brain in diastole, diffusion anisotropy and eigenvectors still showed high variability in subcortical white matter regions. (iv) Interestingly, regions of high CoV of *Tr*(**D**) and regions of high positive **S**(*Tr*(**D**)) did not completely superimpose, indicating that mis-registration and intra-voxel tissue deformation affect different regions of the brain.



**Fig 1:** Color fiber orientation<sup>7</sup> maps acquired at different points in the cardiac cycle. Significant artifacts are seen at 120ms in the medial ventral portion of the cerebellum. These are diminished after 220 ms



**Fig 2:** Plot of CoV of Tr(D) vs Skewness of Tr(D) for the whole brain of a representative subject.

7. Pajevic S et al. 1999. Mag Reson Med 42:526-540

**Conclusion**: The results of this study will help in the interpretation of the complex motion of brain parenchyma during the cardiac cycle and will help in developing strategies to remediate cardiac-induced artifacts in clinical diffusion tensor MRI studies.

- References:
- 1. Poncelet BP et al. Radiology 185:645-651.
- 2. Chien D et al. 1990. JCAT 14:514-520
- 3. Turner R et al. 1990. Radiology 177:407-414
- 4. Conturo TE et al. 1995. *NMR Biomed* 8:307-322
- 5. Pierpaoli C et al. 1996. *Radiology* **201**:637-648
- 6. Rohde G et al. 2002. South. Biomed Eng Conf p22

All time points