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• Impact Analysis of Large Programs
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Impact Analysis of Large Programs
Assessing the impact of large programs

• Development of a standard set of metrics and methods to quantify the impact of NICHD’s large programs

• Characterize and analyze the products of the large program over time through identification, assessment, and mapping of:
  • Productivity, collaboration, research topics, and citation impact of papers funded by the program
Assessing the impact of large programs

• There is no one metric that can be used to measure the totality of a program and its impact

• This analysis uses indicators and metrics for which we have data and is meant to be considered within the nuance of the program to frame, interpret, and contextualize the program
First Round of Large NICHD Programs

• Maternal-Fetal Medicine Units Network (MFMU)

• Learning Disabilities Research Centers and Innovation Hubs (LDRCs)

• Pediatric HIV/AIDS cohort study (PHACs)

• National Centers for Translational Research in Reproduction and Infertility (NCTRI)

• Population Dynamics Centers Research Infrastructure (PopCCIP)
In examining program impact, reference groups are needed to help contextualize

Developed two reference groups for each large program
  - Matched R01 Awards (all of NIH) – matched on content
  - Branch Awards – matched on program start date
Relative Citation Ratio is a field-normalized metric that shows the scientific influence of one or more articles relative to the average NIH-funded paper.

If a paper is cited exactly as often as would be expected based on the NIH norm, the RCR = 1.

If a paper is never cited, the RCR = 0.

If a paper is cited a great deal, the RCR will exceed 3 or be even higher.

For more information see https://nexus.od.nih.gov/all/2016/09/08/nih-rcr/

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program start</th>
<th>1972</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RCR (median)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branch</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R01</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% pubs with RCR&gt;3*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branch</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R01</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Calculated only for publications with an RCR
Example metric: RCR for PopCCIP
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• Direct links: Grants linked to patents
  • Patent-grant linkages were retrieved from iSearch. These linkages are a combination of direct links made between the federal support section of patents listed in the USPTO database, and investigator-reported links.

• Indirect links: Publications cited in patents
  • To identify indirect linkages between grants and patents, publications cited in patents were matched to publications associated with the programs and comparison groups.

• In both cases only awarded patents were considered
Example metric: Patents

NCTRI Patents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of grants with patents</th>
<th>Percentage of publications cited by patent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Program</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branch</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R01</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Program: 24%  Branch: 4%  R01: 2%  Total: 25%
Framework

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Products</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Practice</th>
<th>Public Engagement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Publications</td>
<td>Scientific Impact</td>
<td>Medical Guidelines</td>
<td>News</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical Trials</td>
<td>Field Saturation</td>
<td>Practice Guidelines</td>
<td>Policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biologics</td>
<td>Funding Impact</td>
<td>Insurance Guidelines</td>
<td>Conferences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devices</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaborations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Sharing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Funding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• Content analysis can address questions about gaps and overlap

• Specifically, within the context of the broader field:
  • Do the program publications overlap with publications from the following groups?
    • The R01 group – matched on content
    • Other NICHD publications
    • Other NIH publications
  • Do the program publications occupy a unique area?
Content Analysis: LDRC field 1989-2017

- Adults, reading, phonology/semantics
- Brain, imaging/mapping
- Genetics
- Processing, visual, fMRI
- Linguistics, reading, impairment
- Learning disabilities, dyslexia, learning/reading
- Cognitive function, aging
- Brain imaging: diagnostics
- Childhood ADHD
- Learning disabilities, academic achievement
- Neuropsych disorders, anxiety, brain imaging
- Adolescents, substances, risk
- Psychotherapy, mental capacity, personality traits
- Genetics
- Gene expression, heritability, disorders
- LDRC publications
- R01 publications
- Field publications
Methods

Citations from guidelines were extracted and linked to publications associated with programs and the reference groups:

• Practice guidelines
  • Guidelines listed in AHRQ’s National Guideline Clearinghouse and PubMed (guidelines in PubMed were reviewed to ensure they were a clinical practice guideline)

• Physician support guidelines
  • UpToDate: a point-of-care medical resource that is marketed as an evidence-based clinical resource
Example metric: Practice Guidelines

PHACS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of publications cited in guideline</th>
<th>Percentage of publications cited by &gt;1 guideline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Program</td>
<td>Branch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R01</td>
<td>R01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Example metric: UpToDate Guidelines

MFMU

- Percentage of publications cited in guideline:
  - Program: 38.2%
  - Branch: 7.7%
  - R01: 13.8%

- Percentage of publications cited by >1 guideline:
  - Program: 17.9%
  - Branch: 4.8%
  - R01: 6.6%
Programs for Second Round

- *Eunice Kennedy Shriver Intellectual & Developmental Disabilities Research Centers (EKS-IDDRCs)*
- Reproductive Medicine Network (RMN)
- Neonatal Research Network (NRN)
- Pelvic Floor Disorders Network (PFDN)
- Collaborative Pediatric Critical Care Research Network (CPCCRN)
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Staff Updates
Staff New to NICHD Extramural

Andrew (Drew) Bremer
PGNB
Staff Transitions from NICHD Extramural

Ted Williams
GMB
Funding the Best Science at NICHD…

to Advance Science & Health for All